

### DOD ACQUISITION INSIGHT DAYS 20-22 APRIL 2009 • DAYTON, OHIO



# Air Force Acquisition Challenges

Lt Gen Mark D. Shackelford

Principal Military Deputy to the Asst Secretary of the Air Force for Acquisition

### Headquarters U.S. Air Force

Integrity - Service - Excellen

c e

# DoD Acquisition Insight Days

Air Force Acquisition Challenges



Lt Gen Mark Shackelford Military Deputy, Office of the Assistant Secretary

of the Air Force (Acquisition)

22 Apr 09



### The State of Acquisition

- President Obama on Presidential helicopter -- "...I think it is an example of the procurement process gone amok. And we're going to have to fix it." (24 Feb 09)
- Secretary Gates "...we must reform how and what we buy, meaning a fundamental overhaul of our approach to procurement, acquisition, and contracting." (6 Apr 09)
- Sen. John McCain, R-Ariz., on procurement cost overruns -- "We have to make some tough decisions about not only what we procure, but how we procure it." (24 Feb 09)
- Sen. Carl Levin, D-Mich. -- "We are going to do everything we can legislatively to put an end to these horrific casteoverrynsstart weehave seene"n (21 Feb 09)



### **Progress to Date**

- SAF/AQ internal assessment and actions (Jul Dec 08)
  - New training for Source Selection teams
  - New Source Selection governance policies
  - Approved long-term plan to recapitalize acquisition workforce

- SECAF directed, independent assessment by Center for Naval Analyses (CNA)
  - 19 of 24 recommendations are accepted and included in current efforts to improve AF acquisition
  - The remaining recommendations need further study before we act



### **USAF Flight Path**

#### Air Force 2008-2010 Strategic Plan

- Reinvigorate AF Nuclear Enterprise
- Win Today's Fight
- Develop & Care for Airmen & Families
- Modernizing Aging Air & Space Inventories
- Recapture



- Recapture Acquisition Excellence:
  - Revitalize the Air Force acquisition workforce
  - Improve requirements generation process
  - Instill budget and financial discipline
  - Improve Air Force major systems source selections
  - Establish clear lines of authority and accountability within acquisition organizations



## The State of the Workforce

- AF acquisition leadership is under-qualified
  - 40% don't meet qualifications (Critical Acquisition Positions & Key Leadership Positions)
- Acquisition workforce has slowly atrophied
  - Organic workforce down 23% since 1999
- Decreasing government expertise in:
  - Cost estimators, systems engineers & contracting officers
- Vacancy rates are approaching 10%



### Revitalize the AF Acq Workforce

- Fill the vacancies!
  - Exploit newly delegated expedited hiring authority for civilians
  - Adjust manning priority for civilians and military
- Increase & fund authorizations, 2000+ new positions
  - Officers, Enlisted, and Civilians
- Increase overall training & recruitment with NDAA 09 Section 852 funds
  - Focused functional training & career path development
- Examine and assess the necessary workforce
  - Mix of military, civilian, and contractor support
  - Mix of SES and GO leadership



### The State of Requirements

- Requirements unnecessarily complicate effective source selections
  - Too many KPPs and derived requirements to evaluate
  - No prioritization to support block strategy or tradeoff decisions
  - Poor or ambiguous definitions
  - Unmeasureable and/or unevaluable requirements
- Req'ts don't support efficient weapon system development
  - Poor process for trading requirements/performance with cost and schedule
  - KPP definition: Is it a go/no-go or not?
  - Requirements creep over lengthy development phase ntegrity - Service - Excellence



### Improve Requirements Generation

- Get the acquisition workforce involved early!
  - Inform users of technical feasibility & testability
  - Insure req'ts are measurable & evaluable in source selection
  - SAE & AFMC/CC certify AF requirements in conjunction with AFROCC
- Require incremental acquisition strategies Block development
- Simplify and minimize KPPs & other requirements
- Stop changing requirements during development
  - Freeze program requirements at contract award
  - Changes must be approved by MAJCOM & CSAF



## The State of Budgets & Finance

- Too many programs chasing too few dollars
- Baselines set too low, resulting in regular Nunn-McCurdy's
- Unstable funding up/down, faster/slower
  - Programs defined by available budget
- Unwilling to terminate programs
- Escalating contractor overhead and profit
- Decreasing government expertise in:
  - Cost estimating
  - Contractor earned value management



# Budget & Financial Discipline

- Set realistic program baselines (cost, schedule & performance)
  - Baseline after PDR
  - Increase cost estimate confidence level
- Stabilize program funding
  - Increase SAE influence over program budget adjustments
- Assess contractor costs
  - Control rising overhead rates
  - Ensure profits match risk and performance
- Get back to Earned Value Management
  - Make sure the contractors provide the data
  - Make sure the government uses it effectively



### The State of Source Selections

- High stakes, high visibility game for very few MDAPs
  - Contractors plan their protest in parallel with their proposal
- Too many decisions overturned, too many mistakes made
  - GAO overturned KC-X and CSAR-X decisions
- Poor understanding of complex process
  - Lack of expertise
  - **Inadequate training**
  - Too complex Source selection has evolved and grown
- **David vs Goliath** 
  - Contractors spare no expense and hire "Top Guns" to prepare their proposals and protests
    Integrity - Service - Excellence
    Gov't plays a pick up game



### Improve Source Selections

- Improve AF source selection evaluation teams (SSETs)
  - SAE & Chief of Contracting approval of SSET leadership
  - Pick individuals with previous SSET experience
  - Improve training and make it mandatory
- Make AF "Top Guns" available
  - Establish cadre of source selection experts
  - Employ new review teams providing extra eyes on the process
  - Provide on-call augmentation
- Develop realistic schedules that are event driven
- Review and improve early acquisition planning (pre MS-B)
  - Improve RFP generation and source selection completion rity Service Excellence



### The State of our Organizations

- Wings, Groups & Squadrons
  - Looks like the rest of the AF, but not really
  - Role of Wing Commander is not consistent
  - Decreased functional leadership, leading to decreased mentoring and functional development/training
- PEOs
  - Four PEOs for all AF weapons (plus one PEO for JSF)
  - PEOs dual-hatted as product center commanders
- Program Managers
  - Inconsistent level of command (Wing/CC, Group/CC, Sq/CC)
  - No GOs & few SESs, even for MDAPs



### Improve Acquisition Organizations

- Reassess the Wing / Group / Squadron structure
  - Standardize Wing commander role
  - Rename for clarity (i.e. KC-X Group)
  - Resize to fit AF standards for wings, groups & squadrons
- Reassess & improve functional leadership
  - Strengthen mentorship
  - Provide training, make it mandatory
- Reassess and improve PEO construct
  - More PEOs?
  - Deputy PEOs?
  - Dual-hatted?
- Assign 1-star/SES as PEO or Program Directors of highest priority acquisition programs (e.g. KC-X, ECSS others)



#### Where We Want to be...

### **Our Vision:**

War-winning capabilities ...on time, on cost











